

Results from an investigation into the physical origins of nonproportionality in CsI(Tl)

S. Asztalos*, W. Hennig and W. K. Warburton

XIA LLC, 31057 Genstar Rd, Hayward, CA 94544, USA

*Corresponding author: 510 508 6548

Abstract

The relative scintillation response per energy deposited by Compton electrons or, nonproportionality, has traditionally been considered an intrinsic scintillator property. However, such an interpretation is inconsistent with recent results that show nonproportionality to depend on external factors such as shaping time, temperature and supplier. Apparently, at least some of the overall nonproportionality has an extrinsic origin. In this work we describe the results from a suite of measurements designed to test the hypothesis that nonproportionality in CsI(Tl) material has an extrinsic component that correlates with impurity levels. Our choice of material was motivated by the excellent energy resolution observed in one bulk crystal (6.4 %) - a marked departure from that measured with conventional CsI(Tl) stock (8 to 8.5 %). Six bulk CsI(Tl) crystals were procured and diced into 44 wafers. Using x-ray fluorescence techniques no conclusive evidence for impurities were found in any of the wafers at the 1 to 50 ppm level. One crystal exhibited a distinct correlation between energy resolution, decay lifetimes, nonproportionality and a very low level of Tl doping.

nonproportionality; CsI(Tl); impurities; x-ray fluorescence

1.0 Introduction

The relative scintillation response per energy deposited by Compton electrons or, nonproportionality, has traditionally been considered an intrinsic scintillator property. However, support for this interpretation is increasingly untenable in light of measurements that have shown nonproportionality to depend on external factors, such as shaping time and temperature[1]. Consequently, notions on the origin of nonproportionality have evolved to the present moment, where it is gradually acknowledged that at least some of the overall nonproportionality is not an intrinsic scintillator property. The primary goal of this work was to observe correlations between nonproportionality and impurities (an extrinsic property) at the bulk crystal level. A secondary (and more subtle) goal was simultaneously pursued to

33 explore whether sub-crystal variation in impurity levels contributed to bulk crystal nonproportionality.
34 Our choice of CsI(Tl) material was driven by the excellent resolution seen with one crystal ($\sim 6.4\%$) -
35 a marked departure from that documented for conventional CsI(Tl) stock (8 to 8.5%). This disparity
36 suggests that should nonproportionality originate from impurities and should these impurities be
37 controllable, then there exists the exciting possibility that the resolution of CsI(Tl) could be engineered
38 to achieve 2% resolution, i.e., comparable to LaBr₃. Since CsI(Tl) is very widely disseminated, such an
39 improvement could potentially revolutionize nonproliferation efforts as well as nuclear, particle and
40 medical physics.

41

42

2.0 Measurements

43 To test the hypothesis that nonproportionality is due, in part, to impurities we obtained six bulk crystals
44 from five growers worldwide. (To preserve anonymity concerning growth methods these crystals are
45 subsequently referred to by suppliers designations A, B, C, D, D' and E, with the redundant use of D
46 and D' denoting two crystals provided by the same supplier). Guided by ray trace simulations (Figure
47 1) we converged on a wafer geometry that would simultaneously provide good light collection,
48 minimize a geometric contribution to energy resolution and be readily wrapped and positionable. The
49 six bulk crystals were then each diced into 4 to 9 4.5 mm x 1 in wafers to explore whether wafer
50 variability contributes significantly to overall crystal performance. A dedicated mounting rig (Figure 2)
51 was designed and built to ensure highly reproducible coupling between the wafers and PMT surface.
52 Nonproportionality, energy resolution, decay lifetimes measurements and x-ray fluorescence (XRF)
53 measurements were performed on each of the 44 samples using one mounting rig.

54

55

2.1 Nonproportionality

56 A pair of 5 μCi ¹³⁷Cs sources was used to excite the CsI(Tl) wafers optically coupled to the horizontal
57 PMT (Figure 2). An XIA Pixie-4 spectrometer recorded coincidence events comprised of the energy of
58 a Compton-scattered photon measured in the germanium detector and that of the energy from light
59 generated by the recoiling electron measured by the PMT. (The sum of these energies of these
60 coincident events is equal to that of the source energy - 662 keV. The energy of the recoiling electron
61 is derived by subtracting the energy deposited in the germanium detector from the source energy.) A
62 1000 ns coincidence window allowed sufficient random coincidences to pass such that energy
63 resolution measurements could be extracted directly from the data sets without need for a separate
64 calibration run. Data were written to disk in 10 minute intervals and corrected offline for PMT and

65 germanium gain drift. Pixie-4 software designed for phoswich detector applications [2] was used to
66 construct calibrated 2-D coincidence matrices formed by co-adding ~25-30 data files collectively
67 comprising 2×10^6 events. To keep bin-to-bin fluctuation small these sparse matrices were compressed
68 by a factor of ~ 50, culminating finally in a 600 x 600 bin 2-D matrix with a resolution of 1.6 keV/bin.
69 1-D matrices were constructed by projecting along the axis representing the recoiling electron energy.
70 Local maxima in these projections were fit with a Gaussian and the centroid of the Gaussian fit
71 recorded.

72 2.2 Decay Lifetimes

73 A Golden Engineering XR-200 x-ray generator with a peak output around 40 keV and 55 ns pulse
74 width was used to excite the wafers using the same mechanical mounting rig shown in Figure 2. Pixie-4
75 DSP code was modified to allow for high frequency sampling of short decay components and lower
76 frequency sampling of longer decay components to accommodate (unknown) decay components
77 waveforms as long in duration as ~ 100 us. The waveforms were fit with a triple exponential function
78 to recover the fast, intermediate and slow decay components (0.6 μ s, 3.3 μ s and 10 μ s, respectively).

80 2.3 XRF

81 All 44 wafers were sent to Matrix Metrologies (El Granada, Ca) for energy dispersive XRF
82 measurements. Six of these wafers (one from each bulk crystal) were sent to Material Characterization
83 Services (Austin, Tx) for high precision wavelength dispersive XRF measurements.

85 3.0 Results

86 Results from the nonproportionality, energy resolution and decay lifetime measurements performed on
87 the individual wafers are grouped by supplier in Figures 3 and 4. Results from the XRF measurements
88 are contained in Table 1. No statistically significant variation in nonproportionality, energy resolution
89 or decay lifetimes is observed among wafers cut from the same bulk crystal. This uniformity prompted
90 an alternative analysis wherein each wafer from the same bulk crystal is treated as an independent
91 sample of bulk crystal properties. With this approach, individual nonproportionality, energy resolution
92 and decay lifetime measurements are statistically combined to extract average properties for each bulk
93 crystal. Figure 4 also contains the results of this averaging procedure for the decay lifetimes, while
94 Figure 5 separately contains the combined non-proportionality and energy resolution results. When
95 examined at the bulk crystal level it is manifestly evident that five of the six crystals are essentially
96 indistinguishable from one another (within measurement error). In a similar vein, the crystal from

97 supplier D is clearly an anomaly with the poorest energy resolution (~ 9.0%) and shortest decay
98 lifetimes of any of the other five crystals. Presumably, these differences are explained by the results of
99 the EDXRF measurements (Table 1), which reveal no evidence of Tl (or Na) in this same crystal. (The
100 non-detection of Tl (or Na) is somewhat surprising since the general shape of its nonproportionality
101 curve is still reminiscent of CsI(Tl).) Presumably, the doping level in the crystal from supplier D is far
102 from optimal for the wafer size (before cutting this crystal showed the best energy resolution ~6.4%).
103 The Tl doping level variations from ~150 ppm to ~500 ppm (WDXRF results) exhibited by the other
104 crystals do not seem to have an effect on non-proportionality or energy resolution.

105

106 4.0 Conclusions

107 Modern CsI(Tl) growth techniques methods are apparently capable of consistently producing very high
108 purity crystal stock, with even the small (~ 10%) variation measured among NaI(Tl) crystals from
109 different suppliers[3] apparently suppressed in CsI(Tl). There is little evidence in the work described
110 herein to support the proposal that CsI(Tl) nonproportionality can be engineered via judicious control
111 of impurities above the 50 ppm level (50 ppm for Na down to 1 ppm or less for Tl and heavy
112 impurities) to improve its energy resolution. However, it is possible that impurities and/or the Tl dopant
113 are being controlled by supplier D at a very low level to achieve the excellent resolution measured in
114 the bulk crystal. Resolution of this conundrum must await analysis by more precise methods, including
115 particle induced x-ray emission, neutron activation or mass spectrometry.

116

117 Acknowledgments

118 This work is based on work sponsored by the US Department of Energy under Award No. DE-
119 SC0001802.

120

121 References

122

- [1] M. Moszyński, et al, IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science, vol. 55, pp. 1062-1068, 2008
- [2] W. Hennig, et al, Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry, 282, p 681, 2009
- [3] G. Hull, et al, IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science, NS-26, pp. 331-336, 2009 p31, 2006